# **Regioselective Conversion of 0 -Protected Glycidols to Fluorohydrins Catalyzed by Tetrabutylammonium Dihydrogentrifluoride Under Solid-Liquid PTC Conditions**

**Dario Landini\*, Domenico Albanese, and Michele Penso\*** 

*Cents CNR* **and Dipartimeoto di Chimica Organica e Industriale dell'Universiti. via Venezian 21, I-20133 Milano, Italy** 

*(Received in UK 9 March* **1992)** 

*Abstract.* A **number of O-protected glycidols are regioselectively convened into the corresponding fluorohydrins**   $FCH_2CH(OH)CH_2OX$  by reaction with catalytic amounts of Bu<sub>4</sub>N<sup>+</sup>H<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and a molar excess of KHF<sub>2</sub>. Most of the protective groups (X) examined are stable under the above conditions, moreover stereogenic carbons are not affected.

In a previous paper<sup>1</sup> we showed that tetrabutylammonium dihydrogentrifluoride  $(1)$  is a very efficient catalyst for the regio- and stereoselective hydrofluorination of epoxides, affording good or excellent yields of fluorohydrins in the presence of a molar excess of KHF2 under solid-liquid phase transfer catalysis (SL-PTC) conditions. Moreover, the extraordinary importance of glycidol (2) and O-protected glycidols 3 in organic synthesis was recently stressed by Hanson.<sup>2</sup> The fluorohydrins deriving from 2 and 3 represent versatile starting materials for obtaining more complex organofluorine compounds *via* selective conversion of free and protected hydroxy groups.





In the present paper we report a systematic study of hydrofluorination of 2 and a series of O-protected derivatives **3a-I** catalyzed by 1 under SL-PTC conditions, in order to define the stability of the protective groups, and the regiochemistry of the reaction (Scheme 1).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The reaction (Scheme 1) was performed by stirring, at 120°C, a heterogeneous mixture of the substrate 2,3a-I (1 mol), the PTC agent 1 (0.1 mol) and solid KHF<sub>2</sub> (2 mol) without solvent,<sup>3</sup> until complete conversion of the substrate was reached (TLC and/or GLC analyses). In 3-6Oh, under these conditions (Table l), Oprotected glycidols **3a-i** afforded the corresponding fluorohydrins **5a-i** and **6a-i** in 62-9046 yield and with excellent stability of the most protecting groups examined. The hydrofluorination of glycidyl benzoate (3j) gave 3-fluoro-1,2-propanediol 1-O-benzoate (5j) in 45% yield together with 3-fluoro-1,2-propanediol 1,2-di-Obenzoate (8) (17%) and traces ( $\leq 2\%$ ) of 3-fluoro-1,2-propanediol (4), the remainder being polymeric material. Compound 8 is most likely formed *via an* acid catalyzed transestetification reaction between the starting epoxide **3j** and the initially formed fluorohydrin Sj (Scheme 2).



The presence of glycidol 2, detected during the process, together with the other products cited above, accounts for this rationale. On the other hand, transesterification reactions in O-acylglycidols<sup>2,4</sup> and Oacylglicerols<sup>5</sup> were previously reported. Moreover, the hydrofluorination of glycidol (2) as such gave mainly polymeric products and only minor amounts of 3-fluoro-1,2-propanediol (4) (33%). Higher yields (47%) of 4 were obtained when the reaction was carried out at 80°C instead of 120°C.

According to the good nucleofugality of mesyl and tosyl groups, also towards quatemary ammonium polyhydrogenfluorides,<sup>6</sup> glycidyl mesylate (3k) and tosylate (3l) were found to be largely unstable under the above hydrofluotinating conditions. Indeed, from the reaction mixture of **3k** only 5% of 3-fluoro-1,2 propanediol 1-O-mesylate (5k) was obtained, whereas from 31 6% of 3-fluoro-1,2-propanediol 1-O-tosylate (51) was isolated.

entry	starting epoxide	t, h <sup>b</sup>	yield,%c	fluorohydrins		
$\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{\mathbf{c}}$ 3	$\bf 2$ $\mathbf 2$ $\mathbf{2}$	2.5 12.5 30	33 <sup>d</sup> 38 <sup>e</sup> 47f	4 4 4	HOCH <sub>2</sub> CH(OH)CH <sub>2</sub> F	
4	3a	3	74	5a	MeOCH <sub>2</sub> CH(OH)CH <sub>2</sub> F	
5	3 <sub>b</sub>	$\boldsymbol{6}$	74	5b	BnOCH <sub>2</sub> CH(OH)CH <sub>2</sub> F	968
				<b>7b</b>	BnOCH <sub>2</sub> CHFCH <sub>2</sub> OH	48
6	3c	60	84h	5c	TrOCH <sub>2</sub> CH(OH)CH <sub>2</sub> F	
7	3d	6	64	5d	AllylOCH <sub>2</sub> CH(OH)CH <sub>2</sub> F	
8	3e	8	90	5e	PhOCH <sub>2</sub> CH(OH)CH <sub>2</sub> F	
9	3f	8	62	5f	4-CIC6H4OCH2CH(OH)CH2F	
10	3g	14	63	5g	MEMOCH <sub>2</sub> CH(OH)CH <sub>2</sub> F	
11	3 <sub>h</sub>	24	77	5 <sub>h</sub>	PhCH <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> CH(OH)CH <sub>2</sub> F	<b>948</b>
				7h	PhCH <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> CHFCH <sub>2</sub> OH	68
12	3i	6	76	5i	THPOCH <sub>2</sub> CH(OH)CH <sub>2</sub> F	<b>978</b>
				7i	THPOCH <sub>2</sub> CHFCH <sub>2</sub> OH	38
13	3j	7.5	45 17	5j 8	PhCOOCH <sub>2</sub> CH(OH)CH <sub>2</sub> F PhCOOCH <sub>2</sub> CH(OCOPh)CH <sub>2</sub> F	
14	3k	8	5	5k	MsOCH <sub>2</sub> CH(OH)CH <sub>2</sub> F	
15	31	8	6	51	TsOCH <sub>2</sub> CH(OH)CH <sub>2</sub> F	
16	3m	60	83	5m	$(+)$ -(2R)-TrOCH <sub>2</sub> CH(OH)CH <sub>2</sub> F	

Table 1. Fluorohydrins 4-7 Prepared by Hydrofluorination of O-Protected Glycidols  $2,3.*$ 

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: epoxide 2,3a-I (1 mol), Bu<sub>4</sub>N<sup>+</sup>H<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (1) (0.1 mol), KHF<sub>2</sub> (2 mol), 120°C. <sup>b</sup> Time for the complete conversion of the substrate 2,3a-I. c Isolated yields. d At 120 $^{\circ}$ C. e At 100 $^{\circ}$ C. f At 80 $^{\circ}$ C. s Distribution 46 of the two regioisomers 5 and 7. h In the presence of PhCl as solvent.

Hydrofluorination of optically pure (+)-(2R)-[(triphenylmethoxy)methyl]oxirane **(3m),7** promoted by **1.**  afforded optically pure (+)-(2R)-1-fluoro-3-(triphenylmethoxy)propan-2-o1(5m) in 83% yield, showing that the stereocenter was not affected. The optical purity of **5m** was determined by <sup>19</sup>F NMR (Fig. 1). The <sup>19</sup>F spectrum registered after treatment of  $(\pm)$ -1-fluoro-3-(triphenylmethoxy)propan-2-ol (5c) with  $(+)$ - $(S)$ -2methoxy-2-phenyl-2-trifluoromethyl acetic acid chloride ("Masher's chloride") (Fig. la) showed two symmetrical signals: (A) at -224.139 and (B) at -224.603 ppm (dt,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HF}} = 19.2, {}^{2}J_{\text{HF}} = 46.0$ ) due to FCH<sub>2</sub>. In the case of **5m the** spectrum of "Masher's esters" exhibited only a muhiplet at -224.603 ppm (Fig. lb).



Fig. 1. 19F NMR spectra of "Masher's" esters of compounds SC (a) and **Sm** (b).

Thus due to the recent commercial availability of both the enantiomers of glycidol (2) we can easily synthesize optically active polyfunctionalized building blocks bearing a fluorine atom and a stemocenter of known configuration.

As shown in Table 1, the hydrofluorination generally proceeds with high (entries 5, 11 and 12) or complete (entries l-4, 6-10 and 13-16) regioselectivity. The fluorohydrins 5, deriving from the attack of fluoride ion to the less substituted, and hence the less hindered, carbon atom of the oxirane ring, were the sole or the most prevalent regioisomers obtained. This regioselectivity is, we found, similar to that reported by Poulter et al.<sup>8</sup> and *Schlosser et al.*<sup>9</sup> in the reaction between epoxides and diisopropylamine trishydrofluoride (9)<sup>8</sup> and "Hunig's hydrofluoride", the hydrogen fluoride/ethyldiisopropylamine adduct (10),<sup>9</sup> respectively.

As already reported.1 the reactions promoted by tetrabutylammonium dihydrogentrifluoride **(1) are**  completely trans-stereoselective like those promoted by "Hunig's hydrofluoride" 10.9 Thus on the basis of this common regio- and stereochemical behaviour we can reasonably assume that in the hydrofluorinations with reagents 1 and 10 the mechanism proposed by Schlosser,<sup>9</sup> is operating: i.e. the addition of hydrogen fluoride proceeds in an anti-periplanar manner via a "convever belt process" where a polyhydrogenfluoride species, such as  $H_2F_3$ <sup>-</sup> or (HF)<sub>3</sub>, is directly involved in the transition state.

Differently from the HF/amine adducts, such as 9 and **10,** tetrabutylammonium dihydrogentrifluoride  $(1)^{10}$  can be advantageously used in catalytic amounts, since it can be regenerated in *situ* by solid potassium hydrogendifluoride via a well known SL-PTC process. 14 Moreover, **1** is an excellent non-corrosive source of hydrogen fluoride and, if the presence of moisture is avoided, it can be used in normal pyrex vessel.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

Starting O-protected glycidols 3a, 3d, 3e, 3f are commercially available. Products 3b, <sup>15</sup> 3c, <sup>16</sup> 3g, <sup>17</sup> **3h,ls 3i,19 3j,20 3k,21** 31,22 and **3ml6 are** known compounds and were prepared by literature methods. Tetrabutylammonuim dihydrogentrifluoride **(1)** was synthesized from the corresponding hydrogensulphate, as previously reported.<sup>13</sup> Potassium hydrogendifluoride was used as purchased. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 300 Mhz and 282 Mhz, respectively, using TMS for the <sup>1</sup>H- and CFCl<sub>3</sub> for the <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra as external standards. The values of coupling costants are in Hz.

### *General Methodfor the Preparation of Fluorohydrins 4,5,7*

A mixture of the epoxide 2,3 (10 mmol), KHF2 (20 mmol) and tetrabutylammonium dihydrogentrifluoride **(1)** (1 mmol) is stirred at 12O'C until the starting material is no longer detectable (GLC, NMR and/or TLC analyses). The reactions of 3c and **3m are** conducted in the presence of PhCl (2 mL/lO mmol) as a solvent. After cooling, the crude is diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 mL), filtered on celite and the solvent is evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue is purified by distillation under vacuum or by flash or medium pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC) on silica gel (230-400 mesh).

Starting epoxide, reaction time, chromatographic eluant, yield and physical, spectroscopic and analytical data of fluorohydrins 4,5,7 are as follows.

*3-Fluoro-I,2-propanediol(4).* Glycidol(2); 2Sh; Et20.4,33%; at 80°C 4 is obtained in *47%* yield, after 30h; np<sup>26</sup> 1.4221; bp 52-55°C/0.2 Torr (lit.<sup>23</sup> bp 55°C/0.2 Torr); <sup>1</sup>H NMR,  $\delta$ , 3.58 (m, 2H), 3.81 (dm, 1H,  $3J_{\text{HF}} = 19.0$ ), 4.06 (bs, 2H), 4.35 (dm, 2H,  $2J_{\text{HF}} = 47.6$ );  $19$ F NMR,  $\delta$ , -226.1 (dt,  $3J_{\text{HF}} = 18.9$ ,  $2J_{\text{HF}} = 18.9$ 47.7).

*1 -Fluoro-3-(methoxy)propan-2-ol(5a).* [(Methoxy)methylloxirane **(3a);** 3h; the crude is distilled. **5a,** *74%;*   $nD^{20}$  1.4022; bp 90-95°C/30 Torr; <sup>1</sup>H NMR,  $\delta$ , 2.75 (s, 1H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 3.42 (m, 2H), 3.95 (dm, 1H,  $3J_{\text{HF}} = 21.0$ , 4.39 (dm, 2H,  $2J_{\text{HF}} = 48.0$ );  $19$ F NMR,  $\delta$ , -226.6 (dm,  $2J_{\text{HF}} = 48.0$ ). *Anal. calcd.* for C4HgF02: C, 44.43; H, 8.39. *Found: C, 44.50;* H, 8.33.

*I-Fluoro-3-(phenylmethoxy)propan-2-01 (Sb) and 2-fluoro-3-(phenylmethoxy)propan-I-01 (78).*   $[$ (Phenylmethoxy)methyl]oxirane (3b); 6h; Et<sub>2</sub>O and petroleum ether (PE) (1 : 2). **5b.**  $70\%$ ;  $np^{20}$  1.5032 (lit.<sup>9</sup>)  $np^{20}$  1.5042); <sup>1</sup>H NMR,  $\delta$ , 2.55 (bs, 1H), 3.59 (m, 2H), 4.06 (dm, 1H,  $\delta J_{\text{HF}} = 18.4$ ), 4.48 (dm, 2H,  $\delta J_{\text{HF}}$  $=$  47.3), 4.58 (s, 2H), 7.31 (m, 5H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR,  $\delta$ , -225.5 (dt,  ${}^{3}$ JHF = 19.2,  ${}^{2}$ JHF = 50.7). *Anal. calcd.* for ClOHl3FO2: C, 65.19; H, 7.13. *Found: C, 65.30;* H, *7.02.* **7b.** 4%; oil; 1H NMR, 6, 2.50 (bs, lH), 3.73 (dd, 2H, J<sub>HH</sub> = 4.6, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 20.5), 3.86 (dd, 2H, J<sub>HH</sub> = 4.5, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 23.0), 4.60 (s, 2H), 4.74 (dm, 1H,  $2J_{\text{HF}} = 48.1$ , 7.31 (m, 5H);  $19$ F NMR,  $\delta$ , -189.7 (dm,  $2J_{\text{HF}} = 48.1$ ).

*I-Fluoro-3-(triphenylmethoxy)propan-2-ol(5c).* [(Triphenylmethoxy)methyl]oxirane (3c); the reaction is conducted for 60h using PhCl as solvent  $(2 \text{ mL})$ ; Et<sub>2</sub>O and PE  $(1 : 5)$ . 5c, 84%; mp 93-94°C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR,  $\delta$ , 2.38 (s, 1H), 3.28 (d, 2H, J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.4), 4.00 (dm, 1H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 20.5), 4.51 (dm, 2H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 48.0), 7.32 (m, 15H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR,  $\delta$ , -225.4 (dt, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 21.0, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 54.0). *Anal. calcd.* for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>21</sub>FO<sub>2</sub>: C, 78.54; H, 6.30. *Found: C,* 78.27: H, 6.43.

*I-Fluoro-3-(2-propenyloxylpropan-2-01 (Sd).* [(2-propenyloxy)methyl]oxirane **(3d);** 6h; Et20 and PE (3 : 2). **5d,**  $64\%$ **;**  $\text{np}^{26}$  1.4278; <sup>1</sup>H NMR,  $\delta$ , 2.67 (bs, 1H), 3.52 (m, 2H), 4.00 (dm, 1H,  $3\text{J}_{\text{HF}}$  = 17.0), 4.02 (dm, 2H, J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.9), 4.45 (dm, 2H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 47.4), 5.24 (m, 2H), 5.89 (m, 1H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR,  $\delta$ , -225.5 (dt,  $3J_{\text{HF}} = 17.6$ ,  $2J_{\text{HF}} = 47.4$ ). *Anal. calcd.* for C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>FO<sub>2</sub>: C, 53.71; H, 8.28. *Found*: C, 53.77; H, 8.15.

*I-Fluoro-3-(phenoxy)propan-2-ol (5e).* [(Phenoxy)methyl]oxirane (3e); 8h; Et<sub>2</sub>O and PE (1 : 1.5). 5e, 90%; bp 112-114°C/5 Torr; np<sup>26</sup> 1.5139; <sup>1</sup>H NMR,  $\delta$ , 2.95 (bs, 1H), 4.05 (d, 2H, J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.0), 4.24 (dm, 1H,  $^{3}$ J<sub>HF</sub> = 18.2), 4.58 (dm, 2H,  $^{2}$ J<sub>HF</sub> = 47.6), 6.96 (m, 3H), 7.29 (m, 2H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR,  $\delta$ , -226.4 (dt,  ${}^{3}$ J<sub>HF</sub> = 19.2,  ${}^{2}$ J<sub>HF</sub> = 47.1). *Anal. calcd.* for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>11</sub>FO<sub>2</sub>: C, 63.51; H, 6.53. *Found*: C, 63.33; H, 6.60.

*I-Fluoro-3-(4-chlorophenoxy)propan-2-ol (Sf).* [(4-Chlorophenoxy)methyl]oxirane (3f); 8h; Et<sub>2</sub>O and PE (1 : 3). **5f**,  $62\%$ ; mp 61-62<sup>o</sup>C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR,  $\delta$ , 2.70 (d, 1H, J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.3), 4.07 (d, 2H, J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.2), 4.25 (dm, 1H,  $3J_{\text{HF}} = 18.7$ ), 4.60 (dm, 2H,  $2J_{\text{HF}} = 47.1$ ), 6.80 (m, 2H), 7.25 (m, 2H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR,  $\delta$ , -226.4 (dm, 2JHF = 47.1). *Anal. calcd.* for C9HlOClF02: C, 52.82; H, 4.94. *Found: C, 52.70;* H, *5.00.* 

*I-Fluoro-3-[[(methoxy)ethoxylmetho~Ipropan-2-01 (Sg).* [[[(Methoxy)ethoxy]methoxy]methyl]oxirane (3g); 14h; Et<sub>2</sub>O and PE (3 : 1). 5g, 63%; n<sub>D</sub><sup>20</sup> 1.4285; <sup>1</sup>H NMR,  $\delta$ , 3.02 (d, 1H, J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.0), 3.39 (s, 3H), 3.57 (m, 2H), 3.71 (m, 4H), 4.03 (dm, 1H,  $3J_{\text{HF}} = 17.8$ ), 4.45 (ddd, 2H, J<sub>HH</sub> = 1.5, 4.8,  $2J_{\text{HF}} =$ 47.1), 4.75 (s, 2H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR,  $\delta$ , -225.1 (dm,  $^2J_{HF}$  = 47.1). *Anal calcd.* for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>15</sub>FO<sub>4</sub>: C, 46.14; H, 8.31. *Found: C, 46.28;* H, 8.13.

*I-Fluoro-3-[(phenylmethoxy)methoxy]propan-2-01 (Sh) and 2-Fluoro-3-[(phenylmethoxy)methoxy]propan-I-ol(7h).* [[(Phenylmethoxy)methoxy]methyl]oxirane (3h); 24h; Et<sub>2</sub>O and PE (1 : 1). **5h**, 72%;

 $nD^{20}$  1.4983; <sup>1</sup>H NMR, δ, 2.80 (s, 1H), 3.71 (m, 2H), 4.12 (dm, 1H,  $3J_{HF}$  = 17.3), 4.45 (dd, 2H, J<sub>HH</sub> =  $4.9,~^2$ J<sub>HF</sub> = 47.0), 4.62 (s, 2H), 4.80 (s, 2H), 7.35 (m, 5H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR,  $\delta$ , -225.4 (dm,  $^2$ J<sub>HF</sub> = 47.0). *Anal. calcd.* for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>15</sub>FO<sub>3</sub>: C, 61.66; H, 7.07. *Found*: C, 61.74; H, 6.95. 7h, 5%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR,  $\delta$ , 2.78 (s. 1H), 3.82 (d, 2H,  $3J_{HF}$  = 20.8), 3.85 (d, 2H,  $3J_{HF}$  = 20.8), 4.61 (s, 2H), 4.72 (dm, 1H,  $2J_{HF}$  = 46.8), 4.79 (s, 2H), 7.35 (m, 5H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR,  $\delta$ , -190.3 (dm, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 48.8). *Anal. found:* C, 61.82; H, 6.83.

*I-Fluoro-3-(2-tetrahydropyranyloxylpropan-2-01 (Si) and 2-jluoro-3-(2-tetrahydromranyloxy)propan-l-01 (7i).* [(2-Tetrahydropyranyloxy)methyl]oxirane (3i); 6h; Et<sub>2</sub>O and PE (1 : 1.5). 5i, 74%; n<sub>D</sub><sup>26</sup> 1.4450; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (of the mixture of the two diasteroisomers),  $\delta$ , 1.70 (m, 6H + 6H), 3.15 (d, 1H, J<sub>HH</sub> = 4.1), 3.28 (d, lH, JHH = 2.9), 3.52 (m, 1H + lH), 3.74 (m, 2H + 2H). 3.89 (m, 1H + 1H). 4.00 (m, 1H + IH), 4.45 (dm,  $2H + 2H$ ,  $2J_{HF} = 47.1$ ),  $4.57$  (m,  $1H + 1H$ );  $19F$  NMR,  $\delta$ ,  $-224.2$  (dt,  $1F$ ,  $3J_{HF} = 16.8$ ,  $2J_{HF} = 49.2$ ),  $-225.6$  (dt, 1F,  $3J_{\text{HF}} = 19.5$ ,  $2J_{\text{HF}} = 49.2$ ). *Anal. calcd.* for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>15</sub>FO<sub>3</sub>: C, 53.92; H, 8.48. *Found*: C, *53.65;* H, 8.37, 7i, 2%; oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (of the mixture of the two diasteroisomers), $\delta$ , 1.70 (m, 6H + 6H), 2.10  $(m, 1H)$ , 2.20  $(m, 1H)$ , 3.53  $(m, 1H + 1H)$ , 3.70  $(m, 2H + 2H)$ , 3.90  $(m, 3H + 3H)$ , 4.63  $(m, 1H + 1H)$ , 4.72 (dm,  $1H + 1H$ ,  $2J_{HF} = 48.2$ ); <sup>19</sup>F NMR,  $\delta$ , -190.3 (m,  $1F + 1F$ ). *Anal. found: C, 53.73; H, 8.38.* 

*3-Fluoro-1,2-propanediol I-0-benzoate (Sj) and 3-Fluoro-I,2-propanediol l&0-dibenzoate (8).* Glycidyl benzoate (3j); 7.5h; Et<sub>2</sub>O and PE (1 : 2). 5j, 45%;  $n_D^{26}$  1.5139; <sup>1</sup>H NMR,  $\delta$ , 2.97 (bs, 1H), 4.21 (m, 1H), 4.41 (m, 2H), 4.53 (dm, 2H,  $2J_{\text{HF}} = 46.9$ ), 7.44 (m, 3H), 7.98 (m, 2H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR,  $\delta$ , -226.1 (dt,  $3J_{\text{HF}} =$ 17.5, <sup>2</sup>JHF = 47.4). *Anal. calcd.* for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>11</sub>FO<sub>3</sub>: C, 60.59; H, 5.60. *Found*: C, 60.46; H, 5.43. **8**, 17%; mp  $50^{\circ}$ C (lit.<sup>23</sup> mp 50-51<sup>o</sup>C); <sup>1</sup>H NMR,  $\delta$ , 4.65 (m, 2H), 4.76 (dd, 2H, J<sub>HH</sub> = 4.6, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 46.9), 5.61 (m, 1H), 7.47 (m, 6H), 8.03 (m, 4H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR,  $\delta$ , -226.4 (dt, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 17.5, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 49.6).

3-Fluoro-1,2-propanediol 1-O-methanesulphonate (5k). Glycidyl methanesulphonate (3k); 8h; Et<sub>2</sub>O and PE  $(2:1)$ . 5k, 5%;  $np^{26}$  1.4402; <sup>1</sup>H NMR, δ, 3.04 (s, 3H), 3.15 (bs, 1H), 4.11 (dm, 1H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 21.0), 4.28 (m, 2H), 4.45 (dd, 2H, J<sub>HH</sub> = 4.5, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 48.0); <sup>19</sup>F NMR,  $\delta$ , -225.6 (dt, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 18.6, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 50.5). *Anal. calcd.* for C4HgFO4S: C, 27.83; H, 5.25. *Found: C, 27.66;* H, *5.15.* 

*3-Fluoro-1,2-propanediol I-0-(4-methylbenzene)sulphonate (51).* Glycidyl p-toluensulphonate (31); 2.5h; AcOEt and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (9 : 1). **5k**, 6%; oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR, δ, 1.67 (bs, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 3.77 (m, 1H), 4.10 (m, 2H), 4.43 (dd, 2H, J<sub>HH</sub> = 4.2, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 46.8), 7.37 (m, 2H), 7.55 (m, 2H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR,  $\delta$ , -227.0 (dt, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 18.9, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HF</sub> = 46.5). *Anal. calcd.* for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>13</sub>FO<sub>4</sub>S: C,48.37; H, 5.29. *Found*: C, 48.25; H, 5.10.

*(+)-(2R)-l-Fluoro-3-(triphenylmethoxy)propan-2-01 (5m).* (+)-(2R)-[(Triphenylmethoxy)methyl]oxirane (3m); the reaction conditions are the same as those reported for 3c. 5m, 83%; mp 70.6°C;  $[\alpha]_D$ <sup>20</sup> +2.47° (c = 0.03, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). The "Mosher's ester" of this compound (and of 5c)was synthesized by treating 32 mg (0.095 mmol) of 5m with 24 mg (0.097 mmol) of  $(+)$ - $(S)$ -"Mosher's acid chloride", as reported in literature.<sup>24</sup>

## **REFERENCES AND NOTES**

- 1. Landini, D.; Penso M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, 31, 7209-7212.
- **2.**  Hanson, R. M. *Chem. Rev.* **1991.91.437-475** and references therein.
- **3.**  The use of organic solvents increased the reaction times and appreciably lowered the yields. Gnly in the case of 3c and **3m the** best yield (84%) was obtained in the presence of chlombenzene.
- **4.**  Ko, S. W.; Masamune. H.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Org. Chem. 1987,52,667-671 and references therein.
- **5.**  Burgos, C. E.; Ayer, D. E.; Johnson, R. A. *ibidem 1987.52.4973-4977.*
- **6.**  Landini, D.; Maia, A.; Rampoldi, A. *ibidem 1989,54,328-332.*
- **7.**  Optically pure (+)-(2R)-[(triphenylmethoxy)methyl]oxirane (3m) (mp 100.1-100.5°C;  $\lceil \alpha \rceil_D^{20} + 5.54^{\circ}$ ,  $c = 0.25$ , CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) was obtained by reaction of commercially available (Aldrich) (-)-(S)-glycidol with trityl chloride. Et<sub>3</sub>N and DMAP, as reported in literature.<sup>16</sup> The e.e. of  $3m$  was checked by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis. Whereas the triplet at  $2.77$  ppm in  $(\pm)$ -[(triphenylmethoxy)methyl]oxirane (3c) became two well resolved triplets after treatment with  $Eu(hfc)$  as a shift reagent, the corresponding signal in the spectrum of 3m remained unchanged after the same procedure.

8. Muehlbacher. M.; Poulter, C. D. *ibidem* **1988,53.1026-1030.** 

- **9.**  Suga, H.; Hamatani, T.; Schlosser, M. *Terruhedron* **1990,46,4247-4254.**
- 10. This salt is easy to prepare and handle. $11-13$
- 11. Albert, P.; Cousseau. J. *J. Chem. Sot., Chem.* Commun. 1985, 961-962.
- 12. Idem, *Bull. Sot. Chim. Fr. 1986, 910-915.*
- 13. Landini, D.; Molinari, H.; Penso, M.; Rampoldi. A. *Synrhesis 1988,953-955.*
- 14. For monographs and reviews, see *inter alia:* (a) Dehmlow, E. V.; Dehmlow, S. S. *Phase Transfer Catalysis,* Springer,Weinheim-Berlin, 1983. (b) Montanari, M.; Landini, D.; Rolla, F. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **1982,101,** 147-200. (c) Makosza, M.; Fedorynski, M. *Adv. Cat.* **1988,3.5, 375-422.**
- 15. Anisuzzaman, A. K. M.; Gwen, L. M. *J. Chem. Sot., C* **1967, 1021-1026.**
- 16. **Lok, C.** M. Chem. *Phys.* Lipids 1978,22, 323-337.
- 17. Kraus, G. A.; Frazier, K. *J. Org. Chem. 1980,45,4820-4825.*
- 18. Gokel, J. W.; Dishong, D. M.; Diamond, C. J. *J. Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun. 1980, 1053-1054.*
- 19. Nisshin Flour Milling Co. Ltd. Jpn. **Kokai Tokkio Koho JP 81 95,153 (1981).**
- 20. Erickson, J. G. US 2,567,842 (1951).
- 21. **Baldwin,** J. J.; Raab, A. W.; Mensler, K.; Arisa, B. H.; McClure, D.E. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978,43,**  4876-4878.
- **22.** Pierre, J. L.; Amaud, P. *Bull. Sot. Chim. Fr. 1969, 2868-2870.*
- **23.** Lloyd, W. J.; Harrison, R. *Curbohyd. Res. 1971, 20, 133-139.*
- **24.** Dale, J. A.; Dull, D. L.; Mosher, H. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1969.34. 2543-2549.**